

To count or not to count ? *Pro and cons of Probiotic Enumeration Methods*

Dr. Marco Pane

m.pane@probiotical.com

6th Microbiome R&D and Business Collaboration Forum: USA

Probiotic Definition

Probiotics are live microorganisms, which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit the host (FAO 2001)¹

¹Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). 2001. Health and Nutritional Properties of Probiotics in Food including Powder Milk with Live Lactic Acid Bacteria, http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/fs_management/en/probiotics.pdf

Different methodologies for probing bacterial cells



Evolution of analytical methods



FIGURE 1 | Evolution of analytical methods, and the gaps between routine controls depending on the targeted microbiota. IEA, immuno-enzymatic assay; FC, flow cytometry; FISH, fluorescent *in situ* hybridization; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00016

Plate count methods





The concept of plate count methods

The plate count method is based on the premise that a single bacterium can growth and divide to give an entire colony



Culture-base methods detect only bacteria that are able to replicate under specific condition (different from their native ecosystem!)

Interpretation of plate count methods

Observed result	Usual interpretation	Alternative interpretations		
A colony is formed	A viable cell gave rise to the colony	At least one viable cell gave rise to the colony—but it may have been two or more cells coinciding at the same place on the plate or a clump of cells that contained at least one viable individual		
No colony is formed	There were no viable cells in the sample	 (i) The growth medium and/or incubation conditions were incorrect (ii) The cells were damaged/stressed and therefore unable to grow on solid medium (iii) The population density was low and therefore cell-cell communication could not take place, resulting in no observable growth (iv) Insufficient time was allowed for visible colony development in slowly growing cel 		

doi:10.1128/AEM.00744-11

"...certain plates in any series made from a given sample are more satisfactory for use in computing a total than other. **The matter of selecting plates to be used in computing a count becomes a matter of considerable judgment**."

Breed and Dotterrer, **1916**

Ministendella Salute

DIPARTIMENTO SANITA' PUBBLICA VETERINARIA, SICUREZZA ALIMENTARE E ORGANI COLLEGIALI PER LA TUTELA DELLA SALUTE DIREZIONE GENERALE IGIENE E SICUREZZA DEGLI ALIMENTI E DELLA NUTRIZIONE UFFICIO IV EX DGSAN

COMMISSIONE UNICA PER LA DIETETICA E LA NUTRIZIONE

Revision May 2013

Guidelines on probiotics and prebiotics

"The amount of cells present must be listed on the label, and moreover, this amount has to be guarantee until the end of the shelf-life, at the specified storage conditions, with uncertainty of 0,5 log. It is emphasized that the analytical method of quantification of living bacterial cells may differ from specie to specie."

Compositional Quality and Potential Gastrointestinal Behavior of Probiotic Products Commercialized in Italy

TABLE 2 | Enumeration of the organisms contained in a unit dose of each probiotic formulation.

Formulation	Dose	Labeled cell no.	Total CFU	CFU from spores only
Enterogermina	1 vial	2 × 10 ⁹	1.15 ± 0.50 × 10 ⁹	1.65 ± 0.71 × 10 ⁹
Enterolactis Plus	1 capsule	2.4×10^{10}	$2.71 \pm 0.30 \times 10^{12}$	
Lactoflorene Plus	1 bottle	2×10^{9}	$6.02 \pm 5.73 \times 10^7$	$1.35 \pm 1.50 \times 10^7$
Reuflor	5 drops	1×10^{9}	8.72 ± 1.53 × 1011	
Codex	1 capsule	5×10^{9}	$2.68 \pm 2.4 \times 10^9$	
Prolife	1 bottle	1.25×10^{11}	$2.16 \pm 0.36 \times 10^{11}$	$3.51 \pm 1.49 \times 10^{10}$
Dicoflor	5 drops	5×10^{9}	$9.65 \pm 1.95 \times 10^{9}$	
Enterelle	1 capsule	3×10^{9}	$5.74 \pm 0.99 \times 10^{10}$	
Yovis	1 sachet	2.97×10^{11}	3.51 ± 3.13 × 10 ¹²	
VSL3	1 sachet	4.5 × 10 ¹¹	4.53 ± 0.47 × 10 ¹³	
			1	
Frontiers in Medicine www.frontiersin.org		3		March 2018 Volume 5 Article 59

45300 billion\dose = approx. 10.000 billion\g

Limits of plate count methods

- Time-to-results (48-72h)
- ISO relative only to few species!
- Different Internal method for the same species!!
- Relatively narrow countable range (25-250 CFU/petri)
- Impossibility to recover viable but not-culturable (VBNC) cells, which are seen as dead
- Even when totally in compliance with ISO or Validated Internal Methods difficulties arisa
- Lack of standardized microbiological references!!!

Flow cytometry

A *fast, accurate* and *universal* tool for bacterial enumeration

Forward and side scattered light and fluorescence from stained cells are split into defined wavelengths and channeled by a set of filters and mirrors within the flow cytometer. The fluorescent light is filtered so that each sensor will detect fluorescence only at a specified wavelength. These sensors are called photo - multiplying tubes (PMTs).

December 2015 ISO 19344 IDF 232

19344

IDF 232

First edition 2015-12-15

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

- **ISO 15** labs (5 Countries)
 - 9 different FCM models
 - **10** samples (2 different batches)
 - 8 pure starter cultures
 - 1 blend starter culture
 - 1 yoghurt sample
 - 3 staining protocols
 - 2 repetition per lab per strain per protocol
 - 1800 tests
 - SAME method for ALL the lactic bacteria!

Milk and milk products — Starter cultures, probiotics and fermented products — Quantification of lactic acid bacteria by flow cytometry

Lait et produits laitiers — Cultures, probiotiques et produits fermentés — Quantification de bactéries lactiques par cytométrie en flux

ISO 19344 IDF 232

3 different protocols for enumeration of

- AFU (Active Fluorescent Unit) and
- TFU (total cells)

Enzymatic activity Membrane Integrity Membrane potential

Equivalency of the three staining protocol

ISO 19344 IDF 232 Repeatability (r) and Reproducibility (R) <u>Collectively defined (all protocols, 15 labs, 9</u> different FC equipment)

Parameters ^a	Product type	r*	R*	
AFU/g	Frozen and freeze-dried lactic acid bacteria or probiotic strains	0,06	0,45	
TFU/g	Frozen and freeze-dried lactic acid bacteria or probiotic strains	0,07	0,38	
*All mussician data and annuased as deservited as of AEU an TEU as more sample				

*All precision data are expressed as decadic logarithms of AFU or TFU per gram sample

MOFIN ALCE GROUP

Probiotical

Repeatability (r) and Reproducibility (R) Collectively defined (all protocols, 2 labs, same FC equipment)

Parameters ^a	Product type	r*	R *	
AFU/g	freeze-dried probiotic strains	0,05	0,07	
TFU/g	freeze-dried probiotic strains	0,07	0,06	
*All precision data are expressed as decadic logarithms of AFU or TFU per gram sample				

With proper training Reproducibility (R) is close to Repeatability (r)

Probiotic Enumeration Example (membrane integrity protocol)

Thiazole orange (TO) or equivalent penetrates all bacteria and stain the **nucleic acid** with green fluorescence (y axis)

Propidium iodide (PI) penetrates only bacteria with damaged membranes with red fluorescence (x axis)

33% density to increase

discrimination between clouds and draw better squares

100% density (B&W to better discriminate clouds)

Strain specific antibodies

Purified rabbit serum was screened for binding to L rhamnosus GG, L rhamnosus LR04, and B. Breve BR03. A PE labeled secondary antibody was used to detect binding of the antibody to the bacteria. The polyclonal antibody generated against L Rhamnosus GG was specific for that strain and not a bacteria in the same species or a different species.

Viable but not Culturable Cells

Culturable cells (plate count) + Viable but Not Cultivable (VBNC) < Active Fluorescent Unit (AFU) < Total Fluorescent Unit (TFU)

Viable But Non-Cultivable (VBNC) cells

Fig. 1. A concept map for probiotic strains that describes metabolically active, replicating/ culturable/viable states and the transitions that are possible. The arrow on the perimeter and the black one-way arrows indicate that once a cell is non-viable/dead it does not return to a viable state.

"Microbes exists in a variety of growth phases and metabolic states depending on environmental conditions and stressor, and only a subset of these involve active replication. The convention that viable microbes must be capable of forming colonies excludes not only dead or irreparably damaged organisms but also live microbes that have adapted to environmental stress by becoming dormant (VBNC state)" from Davis, 2014

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2014.04.012

VBNC: Myth or reality ?

VBNC-forming food-borne bacteria

- Campylobacter jejuni
- Campylobacter coli
- Enterococcus faecalis
- Escherichia coli
- Helicobacter pylori
- Salmonella enterica serovar, Enteritidis
- S. Typhimurium
- Shigella dysenteriae type 1
- Shigella flexneri
- Vibrio cholerae
- Vibrio parahaemolyticus
- Yersinia enterocolitica

- *Shigella dysenteriae* in VBNC (environmental samples) produce biologically active Shiga toxin
- *Vibrio alginolyticus* e V. *parahaemolyticus* lose virulence in VBNC but after resuscitation in the mouse it is restored after two passage in the rat ileal loop
- Aeromonas hydrophila in VBNC lose its ability to lyse human erythrocytes but after temperature-induced resuscitation (5°C to 23°C) regain its virulence properties
- *Listeria monocytogenes* in VBNC due to distilled water exposure regain its virulence using embryonated eggs
- <u>*RpF* is a resuscitation promoting factor which is a bacterial</u> <u>cytokines found in both Gram+ and Gran- bacteria</u>

VBNC during production (fermentation)

Figure 2 (a) Dot plots and microscopic images of double-stained cells of *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *cremoris* SK11 with carboxyfluorescein diacetate and propidium iodide dyes during growth. (b) Relative percentage of each population discriminated in dot plots. (\Box) Green cells; \Box double-stained cells; (\Box) red cells and \boxtimes no stained cells.

doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05114.x

VBNC during production (stability)

Bifidobacterium longum 46

Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-5

Bifidobacterium lactis Bb-12

After an industrial process that is stressful for the probiotic cell we seal them in a finished product formulation that represent a new closed system that is far away from the natural condition where the microorganism belong, and we count them with a method that put the same microorganisms in an new closed system that is as distant as possible to their original native one.

But reality is different ...

... even more for ANAEROBIC MICRORGANISMS!

Flow cytometry:

- Fast (30 minutes for a <u>triplicate</u>)
- Accurate

- Universal (same method for all the species)
- With standards (beads or absolute standard)
- Can be further developed (e. strain specific antibodies)
- It give a complete «picture» of the bacterial population (TFU and AFU) based on enzymatic activity, membrane integrity and membrane polarization
- Not related to the «cultivability of the strain» thus potentially suitable for strictly anaerobic micro-organisms
- HOWEVER No easy correlation with Plate Count (CFU) during stability

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Trends in Food Science & Technology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tifs

Review

Flow cytometry as a potential method of measuring bacterial viability in probiotic products: A review

Martin G. Wilkinson

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Limerick, Limerick, V94 T9PX, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Flow cytometry Probiotics Staining Viability Plate counts Validation

To count or not to count ? *Pro and cons of Probiotic Enumeration Methods*

Dr. Marco Pane

m.pane@probiotical.com

6th Microbiome R&D and Business Collaboration Forum: USA